

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 10/553,206 | 12/13/2005 | Kjell Sandaker | 5060-0102PUS1 | 1263 | |
| 2292 BIRCH STEW | 7590 06/03/201 ART KOLASCH & BI | | EXAM | IINER | |
| PO BOX 747 | 747 CANTELMO, GREGG | | | O, GREGG | |
| FALLS CHUR | CH, VA 22040-0747 | | ART UNIT | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1726 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 06/03/2011 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/553.206 SANDAKER, KJELL Notice of Abandonment Examiner Art Unit

| | GREGG CANTELMO | 1726 | |
|--|--|-----------------------|---------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence ad | ldress |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| I. | Mailing or Transmission dated |), which is after the | expiration of the |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | not constitute a proper reply under 3 | 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to | the final rejection |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | |
| (c) A reply was received onbut it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | mpt at a proper rep | ly, to the non- |
| (d) No reply has been received. | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee an from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-6 (a) | 5). s received on (with a Certific | ate of Mailing or Tr | ansmission date |
| Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | uired by, and within the three-month | period set in, the No | otice of |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | nsmission dated |), which is |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire i | nterest, or all of |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by ar 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | sentative capacity ur | nder 37 CFR |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | se the period for see | eking court reviev |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | | |
| A telephone call was made to Applicant on May 25, confirmation of the abandonment. | 2011 but Applicant's representa- | tion was unavailat | ole for |
| | /Gregg Cantelmo/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1726 | | |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)